What is already known on this topic

Risk factors associated with postoperative mortality in colorectal cancer surgery are well established

Predictive models are available for surgical patients in general but are not applicable for predicting individual risk and analysis of subgroups in patients with colorectal cancer

What this study adds

A dedicated model has been developed to predict operative mortality for patients undergoing surgery for colorectal cancer

This modified model is presented in a format that is suitable for frontline clinicians

results of treatment between units. However, before such comparative studies can be undertaken it will be essential to ensure inclusion of all patients and to have robust methods of data validation.

The research on which this article is based was funded by the Hue Falwasser fellowship of the Royal College of Surgeons of England. The authors thank all the consultants who contributed patients to the study and the data collection officers, managers, and audit facilitators for their invaluable assistance. For a list of hospitals that took part in data collection for the ACPGBI study see bmj.com

Contributors: see bmj.com

Funding: PPT is funded by the Hue Falwasser research fellowship of the Royal College of Surgeons of England.

Competing interests: None declared.

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the multicentre ethics research committee for Wales, 10 January 2001.

- Spiegelhalter DJ, Aylin P, Best NG, Evans SJW, Murray GD. Commissioned analysis of surgical performance by using routine data:
- lessons from Bristol inquiry. *J R Statist Soc A* 2002;165:1-31. The Association of Coloproctology of Great Britain and Ireland Database and Dataset [computer program]. Version 2 for Access 2000. (UK):ClinIT 2000. www.cancernworg.uk/clinit/ www.cancernw.org.uk/clinit/
- productshtm²productindex = 1 (accessed 23 Mar 2003). Dripps RD, Lamont A, Eckenhoff JE. The role of anesthesia in surgical mortality. JAMA 1963;178:261-6.
- Department of Health. Hospital episode statistics, Main operations 2000/01. www.doh.gov.uk/hes/standard_data/available_tables/ 4
- main_operations/index.html (accessed 23 Mar 2003). Callum KG, Gray AJG, Hoile RN, Ingram GS, Martin IC, Sherry KM, et al. 5 Appendix A. In: Then and now: the 2000 report of the national confidential enquiry into perioperative deaths. London: NCEPOD, 2000:125-6.
- Turnbull RB Jr, Kyle K, Watson FR, Spratt J. Cancer of the colon: the influence of the no-touch isolation technique on survival rates. *Ann Surg* 1967;166:420-7. 6
- Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S. Applied logistic regression. 2nd ed. New York: John Wiley, 2000. Hanley JA, McNeil BJ. The meaning and use of the area under a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. *Radiology* 1982;143:29-36. Treasure T. Whose lung is it anyway? *Thorax* 2002;57:3-4. 8
- 10 Holme T, Johansson H, Cedermark B, Ekelund G, Rutqvist LE. Influence of hospital and surgeon-related factors on outcome after treatment of rectal cancer with or without preoperative radiotherapy. Br J Surg 1997:84:657-63.
- 11 Khuri SF, Daley J, Henderson W, Hur K, Demakis J, Aust JB, et al. The Department of Veterans Affairs' NSQIP: the first national, validated, outcome-based, risk-adjusted, and peer-controlled program for the measurement and enhancement of the quality of surgical care. National VA Surgical Quality Improvement Program. Ann Surg 1998;228:491-507.
- 12 Copeland GP, Jones D, Walters M. POSSUM: a scoring system for surgi-cal audit. Br J Surg 1991;78:355-60.
- Cat audit. Br J Surg 1991;78:553-60.
 Khuri SF, Daley J, Henderson W, Hur K, Gibbs JO, Barbour G, et al. Risk adjustment of the postoperative mortality rate for the comparative assessment of the quality of surgical care: results of the National Veterans Affairs Surgical Risk Study. J Am Coll Surg 1997;185:315-27.
- 14Copeland GP. Surgical scoring, risk assessment and the surgeon. J R Coll Surg Edinb 1992;37:145-8. Sagar PM, Hartley MN, MacFie J, Taylor BA, Copeland GP. Comparison
- of individual surgeon's performance. Risk-adjusted analysis with POSSUM scoring system. *Dis Col Rectum* 1996;39:654-8.
- 16 Tekkis PP, Kocher HM, Bentley AJ, Cullen PT, South LM, Trotter GA, et al. Operative mortality rates among surgeons: comparison of POSSUM and p-POSSUM scoring systems in gastrointestinal surgery. Dis Col Rectum 2000;43:1528-32.
- 17 Daley J, Henderson WG, Khuri SF. Risk-adjusted surgical outcomes. Annu Rev Med 2001;52:275-87.

(Accepted 18 August 2003)

RESEARCH POINTERS

The thrifty phenotype hypothesis and hearing problems

Marie-Louise Barrenäs, Åsa Bratthall, Jovanna Dahlgren

While looking for solutions to sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) induced by age or noise, a serious incurable health problem, we became interested in the thrifty phenotype hypothesis because diseases related to this hypothesis are sometimes those linked to SNHL.¹ According to the hypothesis, events during fetal life, such as malnutrition, may cause disease in adulthood. The malnourished fetus makes metabolic adaptations, which may become permanently programmed, persisting throughout life and causing disease later in life. For example, cardiovascular disease,² hypertension, obesity, and hypercholesterolaemia are related to reduced fetal growth as reflected by a reduced birth size and to SNHL.

The mechanisms behind the thrifty phenotype hypothesis are unclear, but links to insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) have been suggested. During

development, IGF-I is crucial for several organs. This includes the size of the cochlea and auditory neurones; the innervation of the auditory sensory cells; and the postnatal survival, differentiation, and maturation of auditory ganglion cells.³ Intrauterine growth retarded newborn babies and malnourished pregnancies have lower concentrations of IGF-I. Also, in Turner syndrome SNHL is associated with short stature and lower concentrations of IGF-I.4 To test the thrifty phenotype hypothesis on SNHL, we reanalysed data from two previous samples, assuming that people who are short in stature are over-represented among non-syndromic individuals with SNHL.

Participants, methods, and results

We assessed hearing with standard audiometry in 479 men aged 20 to 64, who were exposed to noise in their jobs, and 500 randomly selected 18 year old

BMI 2003:327:1199-200

Papers

Göteborg Pediatric Growth Research Centre, Department of Pediatrics, Institute of the Health of the Woman and Child, Göteborg University, S416 85 Göteborg, Sweden Marie-Louise Barrenäs associate professor of otorhinolaryngology Åsa Bratthall medical doctor Jovanna Dahlgren assistant professor of pediatrics

Correspondence to: M-L Barrenäs marie-louise.barrenas@ vgregion.se

male conscripts born in 1974. We had data on body height, weight, exposure to noise, occurrence of hereditary taint for hearing loss, and other medical disorders including use of drugs.

Among the conscripts, using odds ratios, shortness was found twice as often in those with SNHL as in men with normal hearing. SNHL was also associated with a positive heredity for hearing loss but not with noise exposure. Short workers (less than two standard deviations below the mean) had worse hearing than expected by age (below the 10th centile given in ISO 7029), three times more often than taller workers and were 12 times more often taking drugs. To further test the thrifty phenotype hypothesis on hearing, we used multiple linear regression to model the high frequency hearing thresholds (the average of 3, 4, and 6 kHz bilaterally) among the noise exposed workers as a function of body height (cm), age (years), and hypertension (yes or no). Older short men with hypertension had significantly worse hearing ($P \le 0.01$), but among tall men, hypertension had no effect on hearing and the influence of age was less pronounced $(R^2 = 0.37, hypertension and height adding 9\%).$

Comment

Sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) in adulthood may be programmed at birth: the thrifty phenotype hypothesis is applicable to SNHL. One mechanism in common to fetal growth retardation, cardiovascular disease, hypertension, and SNHL might be low IGF-I concentrations during fetal life (figure). Indeed, adults with low IGF-I concentrations have features of the metabolic syndrome, a combination of visceral obesity, insulin resistance, dyslipidaemia, and hypertension,5 and, probably, also SNHL. Since IGF-I is a powerful mitogen, a reduced capacity to maximise the speed of the cell cycle during development comes into focus.4 Consequences of a reduced number of cell cycles during development are fewer auditory sensory cells, ganglion cells, and neurones at birth and earlier hearing loss symptoms due to apotosis of the auditory sensory cells induced by age or noise.⁴ Hypertension and hypercholesterolaemia are commonly believed to cause SNHL; we now consider these confounding superficial markers.

Factors during fetal life Genetics Environment (insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I), infections, malnutrition, toxic agents, alcohol, nictotine, drugs) Fetal reprogramming of the growth hormone or IGF-I Adaption of growth hormone - IGF-I axis leads to reduced IGF-I levels and to fewer mitoses per unit time (cell cycle delay) Birth and adolescence Small for gestational age Reduced number of cells in Short stature Minor sensorineural hearing loss skeleton, cardiac vessels, arteries and ears Confounding correlations Greater morbidity Adulthood Lower IGF-I levels lead to Hypertension Obesity earlier onset of age related disorders due to early apoptosis Coronary heart disease Stroke Insulin resistance Sensorineural hearing loss

Working hypothesis for sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) because of fetal events

We thank Alf Axelsson for supplying data from his previous studies. We also thank audiologists from the department of audiology at Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Göteborg, who assessed hearing thresholds.

Contributors: MLB was principal investigator, designed the study, and abstracted data on workers. All authors collaborated on interpretation of the data and writing the manuscript. MLB is guarantor.

Funding: No additional funding. Competing interests: None declared.

Ethical approval: Not needed.

- Hales CN, Barker DJ. Type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus: the thrifty phenotype hypothesis. *Diabetologia* 1992;35:595-601.
 Leon DA, Lithell HO, Vagero D, Koupilova I, Mohsen R, Berglund L, et al.
- 2 Leon DA, Lithell HO, Vagero D, Koupilova I, Mohsen R, Berglund L, et al. Reduced fetal growth rate and increased risk of death from ischaemic heart disease: cohort study of 15 000 Swedish men and women born 1915-29. *BMJ* 1998;317:241-5.
- 3 Camarero G, Avendano C, Fernandez-Moreno C, Villar A, Contreras J, de Pablo F, et al. Delayed inner ear maturation and neuronal loss in postnatal Igf-I-deficient mice. *J Neurosci* 2001;21:7630-41.
- 4 Barrenas M, Landin-Wilhelmsen K, Hanson C. Ear and hearing in relation to genotype and growth in Turner syndrome. *Hear Res* 2000;144:21-8.
- Bjorntorp P. Neuroendocrine abnormalities in human obesity. *Metabolism* 1995;44(suppl 2):S38-41.
 (Accepted 18 August 2003)

Submitting articles to the BMJ

We are now inviting all authors who want to submit a paper to the *BMJ* to do so via the web (http://submit.bmj.com).

Benchpress is a website where authors deposit their manuscripts and editors go to read them and record their decisions. Reviewers' details are also held on the system, and when asked to review a paper reviewers will be invited to access the site to see the relevant paper. The system is secure, protected by passwords, so that authors see only their own papers and reviewers see only those they are meant to. The system is run by Highwire Press, who host bmj.com, and is already being used by 30 journals, including most of the BMJ Publishing Group's specialist journals.

For authors in particular the system offers several benefits. The system provides all our guidance and forms and allows authors to suggest reviewers for their paper—something we'd like to encourage. Authors get an immediate acknowledgement that their submission has been received, and they can watch the progress of their manuscript. The record of their submission, including editors' and reviewers' reports, remains on the system for future reference.

Anyone with an internet connection and a web browser can use the system.

The system itself offers extensive help, and the *BMJ*'s editorial office is geared up to help authors and reviewers if they get stuck. We see Benchpress as part of our endeavour to improve our service to authors and reviewers and, as always, we'd welcome feedback.

Benchpress is accessed via http://submit.bmj.com or via a link from bmj.com